

ATTACHMENT 6 – Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) Council Requests from January 2019

1. **Policy Goals and Metrics** – Council Members requested high-level cost estimates for the City to implement the below policy goals as well as any metrics. The Administration was invited to recommend policy goals to the Council. Three cost estimates are included based on prior discussions but may not represent the best currently available information. The table is intended for discussion purposes and does not represent a comprehensive list of policy goals for Council consideration.

Potential Policy Goals	Potential Metrics	High-level Cost Estimate
Bring all facilities out of deferred maintenance	Appropriations vs. funding need identified in Public Services' Facilities Dashboard that tracks each asset	\$6.8 million annually or \$68 million over ten years
Expand the City's urban trail network with an emphasis on East-West connections	Total paved/unpaved network miles; number and funding for improved trail features; percentage of 9-Line completed	\$21 million for 9-Line implementation
Increase the overall condition index of the City's street network from poor to fair	Overall Condition Index (OCI); pavement condition survey every five years	\$133 million cost estimate (in addition to existing funding level)
Implement the Foothill Trails Master Plan	Distance of improved trails completed; number and funding for improved trailheads	\$TBD
Advance the City's sustainability goals through building energy efficiency upgrades	Energy savings; carbon emission reductions	\$TBD
Focus on renewal and maintenance projects over creating new assets	Number, funding level and ratio of renewed assets vs. new assets	\$TBD

2. **Project Location Mapping** – Council Members requested a map of all CFP projects. The idea of multiple maps based on dollar value was discussed such as \$50,000 - \$999,999, \$1 million - \$5 million, and over \$5 million.
3. **Measure CFP to CIP Alignment** – Council Members expressed support for annually measuring the alignment of how many CIP Funding Log projects were previously listed in the CFP and how many CIP projects receiving appropriations were previously listed in the CFP. A high alignment would indicate the CFP is successfully identifying the City's capital needs.
4. **Council Adoption of CFP** – The question arose if the Council should adopt the CFP each year with the annual budget or potentially in the summer when reviewing project specific funding. Does the Administration have a preference?