

Attachment E
March 7, 2023 Summary of Public Hearing Comments

Summary of Comments in Support

- No data showing the development would have a negative impact to the Great Salt Lake
- Industrial development was a positive for the area
- The distance from 2200 West to the Great Salt Lake was greater than portrayed
- The beauty of the plan was that it gave everyone the ability to do with their property as they saw fit
- Lack of infrastructure that would allow a housing development in the Northpoint area
- Property needed to be put to use
- Thanked staff for hard work on the plan
- Agriculture used and polluted water more than warehouses
- Thanked the Council for listening to everyone
- Residential values were going down in the area
- Areas should be zoned to accommodate the Airport with commercial zoning
- Land values should increase due to the industrial zoning
- Plan promoted sustainable building guidelines
- Lack of available land increased rental rates for businesses and small business took the brunt of the problem
- Would create high paying jobs for citizens of Salt Lake City
- Plan included aspects that protected the wetlands and Great Salt Lake
- Great middle ground for those residents that wished to stay and those that wished to sell for future development
- Residents would be completely surrounded by warehouse zoning and the plan took into account those residents that wished to remain in area
- Requested to approve the plan without restrictions for light industrial and as scheduled

Summary of Comments in General Opposition

- Salt Lake did not need additional warehouses
- There was no transportation options for the area other than cars which would increase pollution
- Similar cities with warehouse developments were now trying to fix the problems these developments caused
- The uniqueness of the area needed to be protected
- Complete a comprehensible review of the impacts of development in the area before the plan moved forward
- Providing City services to the area would be difficult
- Jobs would be menial and not necessary as there were plenty of jobs to be had
- Requested the Council send the plan back to the drawing board and make sure it was not negatively impacting the area
- The area was one of the last oases in Salt Lake that was being turned into warehouses
- There was no reversing the impact of development when the land was gone
- Development would impact air quality and health/well being of the citizens of Salt Lake
- Loss of almost 60 single family residences was not appropriate

- Thanked the Mayor for intervening with distribution centers in the area
- The plan would impact the Rudy Reclamation facility
- Plan would hollow out many of the natural aspects of the land and harm the nesting sites of migratory birds
- 3200 West should remain unpaved and gated
- Encouraged Council to develop conservation programs to protect the wetlands, open spaces, air quality, Great Salt Lake and wildlife throughout the entire area
- It was imperative that the citizens did not want this development
- Wait until the Inland Port was up and running before this development was done
- Plan was not sustainable
- Support plans that helped the area and did not deter from the natural beauty of the State
- Safety for residents along 2200 West was imperative
- A community should have been constructed, not a warehouse district
- City should take into consideration the impacts the development was having on the current residents
- Business Park zoning afforded the restrictions the community wanted in the area
- Create an ordinance that would place restrictions on this development to protect the wetlands, lake and neighborhood
- A comprehensive review of the shoreline was needed to look at the impacts this development would have on wetlands and air quality
- Need more open space, trails and parks, not warehouse space
- Council Members were the representatives that should look to the future to determine what was best for the City
- The Great Salt Lake should be designated as a National Park
- Uniqueness of the area should be protected not committed to industrial development
- Protect the Jordan River in the area
- Plan Salt Lake goals needed to be adequately reflected in the plan
- Plan was inconsistent with the conservation goals and land preservation in the area
- A 180-day moratorium on more development was a great idea
- Housing should be a priority, not forcing people from their homes
- Creation of a green belt around Salt Lake
- Please vote no on the plan