

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

PENDING MINUTES – NOT APPROVED

The City Council of Salt Lake City, Utah, met in Work Session on Tuesday, August 27, 2024.

The following Council Members were present:

Victoria Petro, Daniel Dugan, Chris Wharton, Alejandro Puy, Darin Mano, Sarah Young, Eva Lopez Chavez

Present Legislative leadership:

Cindy Gust-Jenson – Executive Director, Jennifer Bruno – Deputy Director, Lehua Weaver – Associate Deputy Director

Present Administrative leadership:

Mayor Erin Mendenhall, Rachel Otto – Chief of Staff, Megan Yuill, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer

Present City Staff:

Katherine Lewis – City Attorney, Cindy Lou Trishman – City Recorder, Michelle Barney – Minutes & Records Clerk, Matthew Brown – Minutes & Records Clerk, Thais Stewart – Deputy City Recorder, Taylor Hill – Constituent Liaison/Policy Analyst, Scott Corpany – Staff Assistant, Isaac Canedo – Public Engagement Communication Specialist, Ben Luedtke – Senior Public Policy Analyst, Brian Fullmer – Constituent Liaison, Policy Analyst, Mary Beth Thompson – Chief Financial Officer, Nick Tarbet – Senior Public Policy Analyst, Nick Norris – Planning Director, Andrew Johnston – Director of Homelessness Policy and Outreach, Kelsey Lindquist – Senior Planner, Aaron Barlow – Principal Planner, Cassie Younger – Senior Planner, Michaela Oktay – Assistant Planning Director, Tyler Murdock – Public Lands Deputy Director, Alicia De Leon – Community Liaison, Austin Kimmel – Public Engagement/ Communications Specialist II, Tyler Fonarow – Recreational Trails Projects Manager

The meeting was called to order at 1:33 pm

Work Session Items

1. Informational: Updates from the Administration ~ 1:30 p.m.
15 min.

The Council will receive information from the Administration on major items or projects in progress. Topics may relate to major events or emergencies (if needed), services and resources related to people experiencing homelessness, active public engagement efforts, and projects or staffing updates from City Departments, or other items as appropriate.

Alicia De Leon presented the Community Engagement Highlights for the week of August 27, 2024.

Andrew Johnston presented the Homelessness Update for the week of August 27, 2024.

Council Members and Andrew Johnston discussed

- The number of MicroShelters proposed for 700 West (50)
- Working with the businesses in the 700 West area and whether those businesses were receptive to the presence of the MicroShelters
- Why camping was illegal in public parks and what instituted camping under the law

2. Fiscal Year 2024-25 Budget: Capital Improvement Program Follow-up ~ 1:45 p.m.
15 min.

The Council will receive a follow-up briefing about the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), which involves the construction, purchase or renovation of buildings, parks, streets or other city-owned physical structures. Generally, projects have a useful life of at least five years and cost \$50,000 or more. The Council approves debt service and overall CIP funding in June with the annual budget process, while project-specific funding is approved by September 1 of the same calendar year.

For more information visit tinyurl.com/SLCFY25CIP.

Ben Luedtke gave a brief review of the previous Capital Improvement Program (CIP) hearings, the projects proposed to receive funding from the remaining \$595,153 and the dollar amounts requested.

Council Members and Ben Luedtke discussed the projects listed in Attachment 2, of the Budget Amendment regarding security fencing for the International Peace Garden and whether to allocate funding for fencing under Item 22 (Amplifying Our Jordan River Revitalization) or Item 50 (SLC Public Safety Building Remodels). Council stated they preferred to earmark the funding for security fencing under Item 22, indicating that the five wetlands outlined in the report were a priority.

Council Member Lopez Chavez requested the exploration of a Special Assessment Area (SAA) for Harvey Milk Boulevard and emphasized what it represented to the culture of Salt Lake City. All Council Members present expressed support for the proposal. Council Members expressed support for

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

Council Member Lopez Chavez’s proposal to assign \$30,000 dollars of the unallocated funding to the Harvey Milk Boulevard project, specifically for banner arms on the light polls

Council Member Petro asked fellow Council Members to consider increasing funding for Item 80 (Art) to \$200,000; a total increase of \$33,000 for the item, as art was a constant discussion point of the Council and affected all aspects of the city. All Council Members present supported the request.

Ben Luedtke stated the remaining funding, approximately \$52,000, would be allocated to Item 11 (Safer Crossings Citywide).

Council Members asked if and how contingency language could be added to Item 33 (Green Loop Implementation) for the creation of a management plan. Ben Luedtke stated he would work with the City Attorney on contingency language for the plan and provide it to Council Members for review.

3. Ordinance: Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention District Text Amendment ~ 2:00 p.m.
15 min.

The Council will receive a briefing on a proposed ordinance that would amend the D4 Secondary Central Business District zoning district to support the creation of a Sports, Entertainment, Culture, and Convention (SECC). The proposed text amendments would make the following changes to the D4 zoning district:

1. Modify the maximum height allowed through design review from 125 feet to 600 feet.
2. Modifying the required front and corner yard setback requirements are changing to clarify that buildings with plazas and other similar public spaces are allowed to exceed the maximum setback.
3. Change the table of allowed uses for the D4 zoning district would change as follows:
 - o Stadiums change from a conditional use to a permitted use.
 - o Commercial parking would be changed from a conditional use to a permitted use. (A current requirement prohibiting the demolition of a building for principal use parking on the property would remain.)
4. Expand the existing sign overlay that applies to the Delta Center to extend to the blocks that contain the Salt Palace. This allows more flexibility for signs related to the entertainment venues within the overlay and allows modifications to signs through the design review process for buildings that are subject to design review.

For more information visit tinyurl.com/SLCRevitalizationZone.

Nick Tarbet, Michaela Oktay, and Kelsey Lindquist gave a brief review of the text amendment and Straw Poll results (from previous Council Meetings) regarding building

height, setbacks and sign regulation standards.

Council Member Dugan and Nick Tabet discussed the process for design review under the new agreement, clarifying that design reviews were reviewed/approved by the Planning Commission; while Council reviewed/approved the development agreements. Council Members asked if there were options to indicate areas where signs would not be allowed in the development agreement. Nick Tabet stated specificity could be address through the development agreement.

4. Informational: R-1 Zoning Districts Study ~ 2:15 p.m.
45 min.

The Council will receive a briefing on a report that the Planning Division produced in response to a Council-initiated Legislative Intent requesting a study of the R-1 zoning districts. The report provides a history of single-family zoning in the city, a short analysis and options for each of the items requested, a summary of similar actions taken by other communities, a discussion of how each action aligns with adopted City policies, and a list of resources reviewed during the research of the report.

Nick Norris presented Potential Approaches to Simplifying and Improving Residential Districts (R-1).

Council Member Mano inquired about how the maximum dwelling size affected affordability in the Durham, North Carolina study. Nick Norris stated that without the proposed cap on building size, buildings could be built to the maximum size and small single-family homes would become extinct, which was what Salt Lake was currently seeing even where smaller lot sizes were allowed.

Council Member Petro inquired about demographic data for the study area in Durham, North Carolina and whether demolition rates had increased during the study period. Nick Norris stated it was included in the materials, and that further research would be conducted and information brought back to the Council.

Council Member Dugan and Nick Norris discussed the difference between maximum dwelling size, maximum lot coverage and buildable area. Council Member Dugan asked if the measurements accounted for additional floors/stories and what the typical building size was for single-family homes in Durham, North Carolina. Nick Norris stated the Durham code would need to be reviewed to determine whether it included footprint measurements, however a maximum footprint or overall size was usually allowed under building code.

Council Member Petro stated one of the most frequently asked questions was how to address heat islands. Nick Norris stated tree coverage helped mitigate nonpermeable surfaces, provide shade and absorb storm water.

Aaron Barlow stated regarding the Durham, North Carolina study the maximum footprint of a building was 800 square feet, and the maximum floor area was 12,000

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

square feet, demolitions had decreased as the project progressed with a peak during 2019, however there was no correlation between the study and the number of demolitions

Council Member Mano asked whether staff had considered changing Single Family Residential (SR) zones to Form Based Urban Neighborhood (FBUN-1) and where those zones were located in the city. Nick Norris reviewed the areas where SR and FBUN-1 zones existed in Salt Lake; stated changes to the SR zones were considered; however SR and FBUN-1 were two of the most relevant zones used in Salt Lake City and changes would not be beneficial. Council Member Mano and Nick Norris discussed the possibility of changing all R-1 zones to R-1/5,000 with a maximum lot size of 49.5 square feet while also allowing FBUN-1 with a determined maximum lot size, to encourage residents to build smaller homes.

Council Member Petro stated this was not simplifying the code. Nick Norris explained the R-1 zones were some of the simpler zoning areas versus other zones where setbacks and building heights where entire block-faces were required to be measured to determine the buildable space for a lot. Council Member Petro stated housing was a supply and demand issue and asked if there was a way to reflect the City's goal of creating affordable housing in the zoning.

Council Member Young stated a balance between what was allowed and what was marketable/economically feasible needed to be determined or it would create larger issues. Nick Norris stated the biggest advantage the small lot, small home approach created was that it opened individual property owners to be able to analyze those factors. Council Member Petro asked for information as to whether the factors incentivized large developers and faceless corporations to develop in the city, or would current homeowners develop their properties.

Council Member Wharton asked for data showing the study provided desperately needed missing middle housing. Nick Norris stated data references for the Durham North Carolina study were located at the end of the documents.

Council Members and Nick Norris discussed how the proposed zoning would affect areas with narrow streets, where only on-street parking existed. Nick Norris stated the solution was often not something residents wanted to hear, which was eliminate on-street parking. Council Member Mano stated it came down to priorities, mobility or housing, parked cars on narrow streets were also a traffic calming measure which benefited the city.

Council Member Petro stated the overall priority was to create the missing middle housing, with a secondary priority to simplify zoning.

5. Informational: Consolidating Existing Commercial and Mixed-Use Zoning Districts ~ 3:00 p.m.
20 min.

The Council will receive an introductory briefing about a proposal that would consolidate the existing mixed-use and commercial zoning districts into as few as six new zoning districts. The purpose of the proposal would simplify the zoning code by removing

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL

Tuesday, August 27, 2024

redundant zoning regulations, improve the consistency of zoning regulations, and make the zoning code easier to understand and use. This proposal impacts three different Titles of the *Salt Lake City Code*. No action is being taken at this time. The proposal will eventually come back to the Council for consideration after going through the standard zoning amendment process, including a Planning Commission recommendation.

Kelsey Lindquist and Crissie Young presented on Consolidating Commercial and Mixed Use (MU) Zoning Districts

Council Member Mano and Kelsey Lindquist discussed the process for calculating parking under the proposal when a property was located next to fixed rail.

Council Member Petro invited Kelsey Lindquist and Crissie Young to provide their presentation at the August, Westside Community Council meeting.

Council Member Dugan asked how consolidation affected additional housing or missing middle-housing across the city. Crissie Young stated the proposal allowed different housing types within the zones and required commercial activation.

Council Member Dugan, Kelsey Lindquist and Crissie Young discussed the regulations for commercial ground floor activation and the protections under the proposed ordinance to ensure areas with existing ground floor commercial spaces remain commercial in the future.

Council Member Mano, Kelsey Lindquist and Crissie Young discussed the number of zones that required minimum ceiling height for the ground floor. Council Member Mano stated the ceiling height would also limit the number of residential units that could be constructed on upper levels in some areas of the city.

Council Member Young questioned the building height under MU-11. Kelsey Lindquist stated MU-11 was one of the most challenging zones to determine height for.

Council Member Puy asked what zones most artisan businesses were located and whether ceilings heights deterred grocery stores or small markets from operating in those areas. Kelsey Lindquist reviewed the areas where most artisan businesses are located in Salt Lake and stated more research would be needed to determine if ceiling height was deterring food vendors from renting in certain spaces.

Council Member Mano commended the Planning Department for doing a great job and stated Council looked forward to further discussions on the plan.

6. Ordinance: Text Amendment to Prohibit Demolition of Dwelling Units for Parking Uses

~ 3:20 p.m.

20 min.

The Council will receive a briefing about a proposal that would amend various sections of Title 21A of the *Salt Lake City Code* pertaining to the development of parking facilities.

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

The proposal would prohibit the demolition of dwelling units for stand-alone parking uses and the expansion or modification of parking for existing uses unless it includes additional housing units. Other sections of Title 21A may also be amended as part of this petition.

Brian Fullmer gave a brief introduction to the text amendment.

Cassie Younger presented on Parking Amendment to Prohibit Demolition of Housing for Parking Uses.

Council Members and Cassie Younger discussed whether or not the proposal applied to new parking structures, the timeline for replacing houses after demolition and the process to ensure housing would be reconstructed if the property were sold to a new developer following demolition.

7. **Tentative Break** ~ 3:40 p.m.
20 min.

8. **Ordinance: Zoning Map Amendment at Approximately 754 South State Street Follow-up** ~ 4:00 p.m.
30 min.

The Council will receive a follow-up briefing about a proposal that would amend the zoning of property at approximately 754 South State Street (former Sears site) from D-2 (Downtown Support District) to D-1 (Central Business District). The purpose of the proposed amendment is to allow for the redevelopment of the property with an urban hospital. The Council will also consider an ordinance that would amend the text of Section 21A.33.050 of the *Salt Lake City Code* to add Hospitals (including accessory lodging facility), and Ambulance Services (indoor & outdoor) as Conditional Uses in the D-1 Central Business District. Consideration may be given to rezoning the property to another zoning district with similar characteristics. Petitioner: Kirton McConkie.(This item is related to the proposed zoning text amendment for the D1 zone).

For more information on this item visit <https://tinyurl.com/754StateStreetRezone>.

Brian Fullmer reviewed the Zoning Map Amendment for 754 South State Street.

Council Member Dugan inquired about the definition of ground floor activation. Nick Norris stated the zoning code defined activation as retail shops/restaurants and only applied to buildings, not open space. Council Member Dugan asked if it also applied to cutouts in the plaza for food trucks, artwork, vendors, etc. Nick Norris stated ground floor activation referred only to activation inside the building.

Council Member Dugan expressed concern about access to neighboring buildings during construction and after the hospital opened; asked whether the City or property owner was responsible for maintaining access to neighboring properties. Nick Norris explained any existing legal easement was required to be maintained, however if it was not a legal

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

easement it could not be enforced by the City.

Council Member Young questioned the next steps for the proposal and whether there were opportunities for community feedback regarding building design. Nick Norris outlined the next steps for the proposal, opportunities for community involvement/input and the design review process. Council Member Young reviewed the community preference for activation along the street level, and asked how a development agreement could ensure activation. Nick Norris reviewed the purpose of a development agreement, the process of approval and Council's role in the process. **Council Member Young requested clarity on the meaning of ground level activation, and how the development agreement would ensure the inclusion of those elements.**

Council Member Lopez Chavez expressed interested in pursuing a development agreement, stated the mental health aspects of a urban hospital for District 4 as an important part of the project.

Council Member Petro addressed a rumor in the media, Salt Lake City was not requesting the street activation for tax purposes, clarifying the request was to ensure the facility aligned with the neighborhood's character and street activation was a basic principle of Urban Planning.

Council Member Young stated having a health care facility in the area benefited the residents of Salt Lake City and it was important to ensure the facility not only benefited Intermountain Healthcare (IHC) but the surrounding businesses.

Council Member Puy supported bringing a healthcare option downtown but noted he could not back the plan unless the developer met the City's goals for activation and fit the proposal to the area and ordinance requirements

Brian Fullmer asked Council to consider the Straw Polls

Straw Poll

Council Member Lopez Chavez called the question: was the Council supportive of adopting an ordinance subject to the Planning Commission's review of the development agreement, which included ground floor activation, open space, and food truck parking as proposed by Intermountain Health, along with obtaining necessary design review and other potential approvals. The Straw Poll was supported by all Council Members present.

Council Member Mano asked if supporting the Straw Poll meant Council was supporting the activation proposal Intermountain had proposed and nothing more. Brian Fullmer stated that was correct however, Council could make changes to that proposal.

Council Members and Brian Fullmer discussed whether the Straw Poll was for additional activation, what Intermountain Healthcare was proposing and that the development agreement could be made to stipulate the site be used for an urban hospital since the would be tied to the land.

Straw Poll

Council Member Mano called the question stating: was the Council supportive of requiring the ordinances not to be published until the development agreement and any other required processes were approved by the Planning Commission and ratified by the Council?

Council Members, Jennifer Bruno, Nick Norris and Katherine Pasker discussed the Planning Commission's role in the design review process and clarified language in the Straw Poll.

Straw Poll

Council Member Mano recalled the question stating: was the Council supportive of requiring the ordinances not to be published until the development agreement received a recommendation from the Planning Commission and was approved by the Council. The Straw Poll was supported by all Council Members present.

Straw Poll

Brian Fullmer read the question: was the Council supportive of amending City code to add hospitals (including accessory lodging facilities) and ambulance services (indoor and outdoor) as conditional uses in the D-1 Central Business District? The Straw Poll was supported by all Council Members present.

9. Informational: Foothill Trails Plan Evaluation and Recommendations ~ 4:30 p.m.
30 min.

The Council will receive a briefing from Public Lands about the 2020 Foothills Plan evaluation and recommendations report. The Council will also consider the department's request to remove the existing hold on trail construction funding, which has been in place since 2021.

For more information visit tinyurl.com/FoothillsMP.

Austin Kimmel, Tyler Murdock and Tyler Fonarow presented Foothill Trails Plan Evaluation and Recommendations.

Council Member Wharton recapped the recommendations from the SE Group regarding management and maintenance plans, data driven decisions, data effective communication processes, way finding signage, implementation processes for restoration, and recreation by zone in the presentation and the creation of a community stakeholder group. Austin Kimmel stated a formal landowner agreement was also key.

Council Member Wharton stated prior confusion existed as to whether certain requirements were met/completed in Phase I of the project, who would be signing off on completion of plans prior to moving forward with the next steps of the project. Austin Kimmel stated the City would determine the process to ensure the project was completed as specified on City-owned land. Council Member Wharton felt having the stakeholder group in place prior to moving forward was critical to gaining public trust. Austin Kimmel stated a community stakeholder group could only assist in making decisions on

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

City owned land, not on land owned by other entities. Austing Kimmel also explained future projects would require multiple jurisdictions, and the landowner agreement would be required to move forward. Council Member Wharton questioned why a community stakeholder group could not be formed until a development agreement was in place. Austin Kimmel stated it could be done but the upper City Creek area was the only area that didn't require multiple entities' approval, and he was not sure how the other landowners would respond to a community group established by Salt Lake City.

Tyler Murdock clarified that while Council Member Wharton was looking for accountability, the stakeholder group could not be created until the five landowners determined its composition and role. Tyler Murdock assured that the group would be formed before implementation began and that no trail construction would proceed until that time.

Council Member Petro clarified that all five landowners would need to sign an agreement to create the community advisory board. Once established, the board would have the authority as a reliable source of feedback, ensuring its suggestions were seriously considered. Austin Kimmel stated that was correct, only planning and design were currently underway.

Council Member Wharton asked about issues in Phase I, including improperly cut trails and missing signage and why a community group couldn't be formed now to rebuild trust. Tyler Murdock stated all signage for Phase I trail system had been installed. Austin Kimmel stated a community group could be established for that section of the trail system. Council Member Wharton suggested starting there to restore trust, which could streamline future FOSZ (Foothill Open Space Zone) processes and emphasized the need to ensure promised actions were fulfilled prior to the City releasing funding.

Cindy Gust-Jenson asked Austin Kimmel to review aspects of the agreement with the five landowners. Austin Kimmel explained the agreement's purpose. Cindy Gust-Jenson asked if the City Attorney's Office would be involved in the contract process. Austin Kimmel stated discussions with the City Attorney's Office regarding the agreement had taken place and explained that the Wallace Stenger Institute would conduct interviews with the landowners to assess their needs and formalize agreement, including timelines its completion. Cindy Gust-Jenson stated the creation of a body to oversee the trails marked a policy shift. Austin Kimmel acknowledge this, noting the project began on City property, adding to the confusion.

Council Member Lopez Chavez asked how many trails required the FOSZ agreement and how many required the agreement of the five landowners. Tyler Murdock stated it was difficult to determine until trail planning. Council Member Lopez Chavez emphasized the City's goal of conserving, restoring and improving access while protecting the trail system and clarified funding was needed for planning, to which Austin Kimmel agreed.

Council Member Petro confirmed the requested funding was for the planning process. Austin Kimmel stated the funding was for the two FOSZ processes: developing the agreement with the landowners and outlining what a community stakeholder group would look like. Council Member Petro stated it was not for tractors or digging in the

dirt. Austin Kimmel stated they anticipate having \$200,000 remaining that would go to implementations of the recommendations for trail construction from the FOSZ.

Council Member Lopez Chavez suggested restricting funding until the landowner agreement and the stakeholder group were established, emphasizing the importance of restoring trust.

Council Members and Austin Kimmel discussed language for a potential straw poll for the proposal and the dollar amount needed.

Straw Poll

Council Member Lopez Chavez called the question: was Council supportive of restricting funding until the agreement and stakeholder group were established, and only funding planning and agreement activities?

The Straw Poll was supported by all Council Members present.

Council Member Wharton expressed support for the straw poll but wanted to make sure the process was clear and upfront for the public’s benefit. Austin Kimmel acknowledged the challenges in managing the Foothills and reiterated that obtaining the landowner agreement was a critical first step toward achieving the City’s desired clarity.

10. Ordinance: Budget Amendment No.1 for Fiscal Year 2024-25 ~ 5:00 p.m.
20 min.

The Council will receive a briefing about Budget Amendment No.1 for the Fiscal Year 2024-25 Budget. Budget amendments happen several times each year to reflect adjustments to the City’s budgets, including proposed project additions and modifications. The proposed amendment includes three new full-time employee positions in the Attorney's Office related to restructuring and moving the City Prosecutor's team, Fleet Block pre-development work and demolition, a new line of credit for the Airport Redevelopment Project, additional funding to several parks capital improvement projects and new ongoing funding for maintenance of Public Lands properties, among other items.

For more information visit tinyurl.com/SLCFY25.

Ben Luedtke review items requiring straw polls from Council for Budget Amendment No 1, by reviewing Item A-1: Attorney’s Office Three New full-time employees (FTEs), Leasing Office Space, and Organizational Structure Change (\$522,461 from General Fund Balance for ongoing FTE costs, \$102,000 from General Fund Balance to the IMS Fund for one-time costs, and rescope and transfer to the CIP Fund \$280,000 of the existing Interlocal Agreement budget to lease office space) .

Council Member Petro requested a detailed expense report for the Prosecutor’s Office to prepare for the next fiscal year.

Council Members discussed conducting a general review of City buildings

and assets to determine if they could accommodate City Departments internally, and if the review would be funded under item A-1 or would require additional funding.

Straw Poll

Support of the early hire advertising of the three new positions, before the budget amendment was formally adopted, rescope and transfer to the CIP Fund \$280,000 of the Existing Interlocal Agreement Budget to Lease Office Space with the amendment that the City would evaluate the need for office space and conduct an assessment of City assets to meet the City needs internally, was supported by all Council Members present.

Council Member Wharton stated the importance of making the Prosecutor's office space accessible to encourage clients to visit in person and feel safe doing so.

Ben Luedtke reviewed Item D-4: Economic Development Loan Fund (EDLF) Budget (\$6,994,737 one-time for New Loans which was inadvertently left out of the FY2024-2025 budget).

Council Member Petro asked why a budget amendment was necessary for a revolving loan fund. Mary Beth Thompson explained that while it was a revolving loan fund, the returned funds go into the fund balance and require Council approval to be reassigned for use. The funds were not assigned to another program and were sitting in the account awaiting permission for utilization.

Straw Poll

Support of the reallocation of the funds to the Economic Development Loan Fund was supported by all Council Members present.

Ben Luedtke reviewed Item D-8: Annual Budget Cleanup; Impact Fees Tracking & Compliance Financial Analyst IV FTE in the Capital Asset Planning Office of the Finance Department (\$143,258 from General Fund Balance, \$140,258 ongoing Reimbursement to the General Fund from Impact Fees, and \$3,000 one-time to the IMS Fund)

Straw Poll

Support for the funding D-8 and early advertising of the job posting was supported by all Council Members present.

Ben Luedtke reviewed Item D-14: Claims Damage Reimbursement for Tennis Bubble (\$23,634 one-time from the Risk Fund).

Straw Poll

Support to allow expediting the receipt and payment of the reimbursement was supported by all Council Members present.

Council Member Lopez Chavez reported on the potential need to appropriate funding to address confusion regarding the "party car" that was thought to have been addressed during budget discussions. She stated she was working with other City departments and

would provide information to the Council as soon as possible.

11. **Board Appointment: Sister Cities Advisory Board – Jane Kim** ~ 5:20 p.m.
5 min

The Council will interview Jane Kim prior to considering appointment to the Sister Cities Board for a term ending July 3, 2028.

Interview not held.

12. **Board Appointment: City and County Building Conservation and Use Committee – Steven Burt** ~ 5:25 p.m.
5 min

The Council will interview Steven Burt prior to considering appointment to the City and County Building Conservation and Use Committee for a term ending July 17, 2028.

Interview held. Council Member Petro stated Steven Burt's name was on the Consent Agenda for formal consideration.

13. **Council Discussion on Compensation** ~ 5:30 p.m.
45 min.

The Council will hold an initial discussion on compensation for City Council Members.

For more information visit tinyurl.com/CouncilCompensation.

Katie Lewis gave a brief overview of the need to discuss compensation and stated further discussion would be held at a future Council Meeting.

Standing Items

14. **Report of the Chair and Vice Chair** -

Report of Chair and Vice Chair.

The Chair and Vice Chair had nothing to report.

15. **Report and Announcements from the Executive Director** -

Report of the Executive Director, including a review of Council information items and announcements. The Council may give feedback or staff direction on any item related to

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

City Council business, including but not limited to:

- Legislative Action; and
- Scheduling Items.

Jennifer Bruno reviewed the request for a Legislative Intent from Council Member Young regarding Redondo Avenue.

Council Member Young stated the request was to clarify if Redondo Avenue was a street or an alley way.

Council Members and Jennifer Bruno discussed the reallocation of \$60,000 of COVID funding from SwitchPoint and options for expeditiously allocating the funding. Jennifer Bruno stated the information would be included in the followup conversation on Budget Amendment No. 1 scheduled for September 3, 2024.

Council Members and Jennifer Bruno discussed travel to Las Vegas for the Urban Lands Fall Conference. **Council Members stated they would like a travel policy in place prior to any travel being approved.**

Straw Poll

Support for Council Members attending the Urban Lands Institute Fall Conference scheduled for the end of October 2024, for those that were interested and available. Council Members Dugan, Lopez Chavez, Petro, Mano, Young, and Puy were in favor. Council Member Wharton was opposed. Passed 6-1

16. Tentative Closed Session

The Council will consider a motion to enter into Closed Session. A closed meeting described under Section 52-4-205 may be held for specific purposes including, but not limited to:

- discussion of the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual;
- strategy sessions to discuss collective bargaining;
- strategy sessions to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation;
- strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, including any form of a water right or water shares, if public discussion of the transaction would:
 - disclose the appraisal or estimated value of the property under consideration; or
 - prevent the public body from completing the transaction on the best possible terms;
- strategy sessions to discuss the sale of real property, including any form of a water right or water shares, if:
 - public discussion of the transaction would:
 - disclose the appraisal or estimated value of the property under consideration; or

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL

Tuesday, August 27, 2024

- (B) prevent the public body from completing the transaction on the best possible terms;
 - (ii) the public body previously gave public notice that the property would be offered for sale; and
 - (iii) the terms of the sale are publicly disclosed before the public body approves the sale;
 - f. discussion regarding deployment of security personnel, devices, or systems; and
 - g. investigative proceedings regarding allegations of criminal misconduct.
- A closed meeting may also be held for attorney-client matters that are privileged pursuant to Utah Code § 78B-1-137, and for other lawful purposes that satisfy the pertinent requirements of the Utah Open and Public Meetings Act.

Closed Session Started at 5:40 pm

Held via Zoom and in the Work Session Room (location)

Council Members in Attendance: Council Members Young, Mano, Wharton, Puy, Petro, Lopez Chavez, and Dugan

City Staff in Attendance: Rachel Otto, Katherine Lewis, Cindy Gust-Jenson, Jennifer Bruno, Lehua Weaver, Jaysen Oldroyd, Jonathan Pappasidderis, Megan Yuili, Mary Beth Thompson, Ben Luedtke, Nick Tarbet, Nate Kobs, Tammy Hunsaker, Cindy Lou Trishman, and Matthew Brown.

Closed Session ended at 6:43 pm

Motion:

Moved by Council Member Mano, seconded by Council Member Lopez Chavez to enter into Closed Session for the purposes of strategy sessions to discuss collective bargaining, attorney-client matters, and strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange or lease of real property.

AYE: Victoria Petro, Daniel Dugan, Chris Wharton, Alejandro Puy, Darin Mano, Sarah Young, Eva Lopez Chavez

Final Result: 7 – 0 Pass

Motion:

Moved by Council Member Lopez Chavez, seconded by Council Member Young to exit Closed Session.

AYE: Victoria Petro, Daniel Dugan, Chris Wharton, Alejandro Puy, Darin Mano, Sarah Young, Eva Lopez Chavez

Final Result: 7 – 0 Pass

MINUTES OF THE SALT LAKE CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, August 27, 2024

Meeting adjourned at 6:52 pm

Minutes Approved:

City Council Chair Victoria Petro

City Recorder

Please refer to Meeting Materials (available at <https://data.slc.gov> by selecting City Council Meeting Information) for supportive content including electronic recordings and comments submitted prior to or during the meeting. Websites listed within the body of the Minutes may not remain active indefinitely.

This document along with the digital recording constitutes the official minutes of the City Council Work Session meeting held Tuesday, August 27, 2024 and is not intended to serve as a full transcript. Please refer to the electronic recording for entire content pursuant to Utah Code §52-4-203.